1a. Contact Details				
Title				
First Name				
Last Name				
Job Title (where relevant)				
Organisation (where				
relevant)				
Address				
Post Code				
Telephone Number				
Email Address				
1b. I am				
Owner of the site	Parish/Town Council			
Developer	Community Group			
Land Agent	Local Resident			
Planning Consultant	Registered Social Landlord			
Other (please specify):	•			

1c. Client/Landowner Details (if different from question 1a)				
Title				
First Name				
Last Name				
Job Title (where relevant)				
Organisation (where relevant)				
Address				
Post Code				
Telephone Number				
Email Address				
2. Site Details				
Site location / address and code	post			
(please include as an attac				
to this response form a local plan of the site on an scale				
base with the boundaries of				
site clearly shown)				
Grid reference (if known)				
Site area (hectares)				

L

Site Ownership						
3a. I (or my client)						
Is the sole owner of the site	Is a part owner of the site		s not own al interest itsoever			
3b. Please provide the name, address and contact details of the site's landowner(s) and attach copies of all relevant title plans and deeds (if available).						
3c. If the site is in multiple landownerships do all landowners support your proposal for the site?	Yes		No			
3d. If you answered no to the above question please provide details of why not all of the sites owners support your proposals for the site.						
Current and Historic Land Uses  4a. Current Land Use (Please describe the site's current land use e.g. agriculture,						
4b. Has the site been previous developed?	,		Yes	No		
•						

<b>J</b> .	**	ovide details of any relevant
historic planning applicat	ions, including application r	numbers if known)
Proposed Future Uses		
•	t description of the develop	
<b>proposed</b> (if you are proposed please go directly to que	osing a site to be designate	d as local green space
please go directly to que.		
5b. Which of the following	use or uses are you propos	ing?
Market Housing	Business & offices	Recreation & Leisure
Affordable Housing	General industrial	Community Use
Residential Care Home	Storage & distribution	Public Open Space
Gypsy & Traveller Pitches	Tourism	Other (Please Specify)
	details of your proposal, inc	cluding details on number of
houses and proposed floo	orspace of commercial build	dings etc.
	penefits to the Local Area tha	at the development of the site
could provide.		

Local Green Space
If you are proposed a site to be designated as Local Green Space please complete the following questions. These questions do not need to be completed if you are not proposing a site as Local Green Space. Please consult the guidance notes for an explanation of Local Green Space Designations.
6a. Which community would the site serve and how would the designation of the site benefit that community.
6b. Please describe why you consider the site to be of particular local significance e.g. recreational value, tranquillity or richness in wildlife.
Site Features and Constraints
Are there any features of the site or limitations that may constrain development on this site (please give details)?
<b>7a. Site Access:</b> Is there a current means of access to the site from the public highway, does this access need to be improved before development can take place and are there any public rights of way that cross or adjoin the site?
<b>7b. Topography:</b> Are there any slopes or significant changes of in levels that could affect the development of the site?
7c. Ground Conditions: Are ground conditions on the site stable? Are there potential ground contamination issues?
<b>7d. Flood Risk:</b> Is the site liable to river, ground water or surface water flooding and if so what is the nature, source and frequency of the flooding?
<b>7e. Legal Issues:</b> Is there land in third party ownership, or access rights, which must be acquired to develop the site, do any restrictive covenants exist, are there any existing tenancies?

7f. Environmental Issues: Is the si					
woodland, are there any significant trees or hedgerows crossing or bordering the					
site are there any known features of ecological or geological importance on or adjacent to the site?					
adjacent to the site?					
7g. Heritage Issues: Are there ar	ny listed buildings,	Conservation Are	eas, Historic		
Parklands or Schedules Monume	ents on the site or	nearby? If so, how	w might the		
site's development affect them'	?				
7h Naighbarring Hass Mest or			bortho		
<b>7h. Neighbouring Uses:</b> What are proposed use or neighbouring u	•	•	ner the		
proposed use of freighboding u	ises nave any imp	MCations:			
7i. Existing uses and Buildings: a	re there any existi	ng buildings or us	es that need to		
be relocated before the site cal	n be developed.				
7: 01 ( )					
7j. Other: (please specify):					
Utilities					
8a. Which of the following are like	cely to be readily	available to servi	ce the site and		
enable its development? Please	-				
·	•	•			
			1 1.00 0 1 10 0		
	Yes	No	Unsure		
	Yes	No	Unsure		
Mains water supply	Yes	No	Unsure		
5	Yes	No	Unsure		
Mains water supply  Mains sewerage	Yes	No	Unsure		
Mains sewerage	Yes	No	Unsure		
5	Yes	No	Unsure		
Mains sewerage	Yes	No	Unsure		

Public highway

Broadband internet

Other (please specify):		
8b. Please provide any further	informa	ation on the utilities available on the site:
Availability  9a. Please indicate when the sidevelopment proposed.	site cou	uld be made available for the land use or
Immediately		
1 to 5 years (by April 2021)		
5 - 10 years (between April 202	21 and	2026)
10 – 15 years (between April 2	026 and	d 2031)
15 - 20 years (between April 2	031 and	d 2036)
9b. Please give reasons for the	e answe	er given above.
Market Interest		
<u>-</u>		ate category below to indicate what level of he site. Please include relevant dates in the
	Yes	Comments
Site is owned by a developer/promoter Site is under option to a		
developer/promoter		
Enquiries received		

Site is being marketed					
None					
Not known					
Delivery					
11a. Please indicate when you begun.	antici	pate the propose	d develop	oment cou	uld be
Up to 5 years (by April 2021)					
5 - 10 years (between April 2027	1 and	2026)			
10 - 15 years (between April 20	26 and	d 2031)			
15 - 20 years (between April 20	31 and	d 2036)			
11b. Once started, how many y		lo you think it wo	uld take t	o comple	te the
proposed development (if know	vii) :				
Viability					
12a. You acknowledge that the		•	•		
and Community Infrastructure L					
addition to the other developm type and scale of land use proj					
include but are not limited to: A		•		•	
Children's Play Space and Con		• .		ď	
		<b>J</b>	Yes	No	Unsure
12b. Do you know if there are the	nere a	ny abnormal			
costs that could affect the viab	_	_			
infrastructure, demolition or gro				L	
12c. If there are abnormal costs	s asso	ciated with the sit	e piease	proviae a	etaiis:
12d. Do you consider that the s	ite is c	urrently viable			
for its proposed use taking into		_			
current planning policy and Cll					
other abnormal development of the site?	costs a	ssociated with			
me site?			I	1	1

	ch any viability assessment or development appraisal you have the site, or any other evidence you consider helps demonstrate the ite.
Other Relevant I	nformation
	ne space below to for additional information or further explanations pics covered in this form

Check List	
Your Details	
Site Details (including site location plan)	
Site Ownership	
Current and Historic Land Uses	
Proposed Future Uses	
Local Green Space (Only to be completed for proposed Local Green	
Space Designations)	
Site Features and Constraints	
Utilities	
Availability	
Market Interest	
Delivery	
Viability	
Other Relevant Information	
Declaration	

## 14. Declaration

I understand that:

### **Data Protection and Freedom of Information**

The Data Controller of this information under the Data Protection Act 1998 will be Norfolk County Council, which will hold the data on behalf of Broadland District Council, Norwich City Council and South Norfolk District Council. The purposes of collecting this data are:

- To assist in the preparation of the Greater Norwich Local Plan
- To contact you, if necessary, regarding the answers given in your form.
- To evaluate the development potential of the submitted site for the uses proposed within the form.

## Disclaimer

The responses received as part of the Greater Norwich Local Plan "Call for Sites" will be published and made available for public viewing. By submitting this form you are consenting to the details about you and your individual sites being stored by Norfolk County Council, and the details being published for consultation purposes. Any information you consider to be confidential is clearly marked in the submitted response form and you have confirmed with the Council(s) in advance that such information can be kept confidential as instructed in the Greater Norwich Local Plan Call for Sites Response Form Guidance Notes.

I agree that the details within this form can be held by Norfolk County Council and that those details can be shared with Broadland District Council, Norwich City Council and South Norfolk District Council for the purposes specified in this declaration.

Name	Date



Date: 28 July 2017

By email only: info@gnlp.org.uk

Our ref:GLA001/0829P

**Dear Sirs** 

## Stanfield Hall Estate - Representations to the Greater Norwich Local Plan

These representations are provided on behalf of Glavenhill Limited in response to the New Greater Norwich Local Plan process.

These representations are submitted to the Greater Norwich Development Partnership (GNDP) that has been set up to oversee the preparation of the new Local Plan for Broadland, Norwich and South Norfolk. These representations are submitted in advance of and to inform the Local Plan Regulation 18 Consultation that is planned for October 2017.

These representations relate specifically to land to the west and south west of the Lotus Car Works site to the east of Wymondham, South Norfolk, hereon in, referred to as the 'proposed allocation site' (see **Appendix 1** for site area).

The proposed allocation site is substantial in size and is uniquely placed adjacent to the existing Lotus Car Works and the Hethel employment growth area and within easy travel distance of the A11. The site is located within an area known as the 'Cambridge to Norwich Tech Corridor' which is to be the focus for £500m of innovation-led growth and investment in infrastructure, housing and skills.

The proposed allocation site, through its strategic location and scale, presents a unique opportunity to deliver on the ambitions of the Tech Corridor. The site is capable, through a coordinated and comprehensive approach to site-wide masterplanning, to accommodate a significant amount of new high tech employment floorsapce in addition to community and residential development, open spaces and landscaping in the form of a new Garden Village for Norfolk.

It is on this basis that Glavenhill respectfully request that the proposed allocation site be considered for allocation within the new Greater Norwich Local Plan.

### **Policy Context**

#### **National Planning Policy**

Building a strong, competitive economy is a key driver of central Government Policy.

The Government is committed to securing economic growth in order to create jobs and prosperity, building on the Country's inherent strength (paragraph 18).

In particular, the planning system should:



"Proactively drive and support sustainable economic development to deliver the homes, business and industrial units, infrastructure and thriving local places that the country needs. Every effort should be made to objectively to identify and then meet the housing, business and other development needs of an area, and respond positively to opportunities for growth. Plans should take account of market signals, such as land prices and housing affordability and set a clear strategy for allocating sufficient land which is suitable for development in their area, taking account of the needs of the residential and business communities". (Paragraph 17) (Lanpro emphasis)

In order to deliver this:

"Planning should operate to encourage and not act as an impediment to sustainable growth".

"Significant weight should be placed on the need to support economic growth through the planning system" (paragraph 19).

Furthermore, to help achieve economic growth, "local planning authorities should plan proactively to meet the development needs of business and support an economy fit for the 21<sup>st</sup> Century" (paragraph 20).

To ensure the delivery of the above objectives, paragraph 21 of the Framework indicates that:

"In drawing up Local Plans, local planning authorities should: set out a clear economic vision and strategy for their area which positively and proactively encourages sustainable economic growth; set criteria or identify strategic sites for local and inward investment to match the strategy and to meet anticipated needs over the plan period; support existing business sectors, taking account of whether they are expanding or contracting and where possible, identify and plan for ne or emerging sectors likely to locate in their area. Policies should be flexible enough to accommodate needs not anticipated in the plan and to show a rapid response to changes in economic circumstances..."

Local planning authorities should identify and update annually a supply of specific deliverable sites sufficient to provide five years' worth of housing against their housing requirements with an additional 5% to ensure choice and competition in the market for land and a supply of specific developable sites or broad locations for growth for years 6-10 and where possible for years 11-15 (paragraph 47).

To be considered 'deliverable' sites should amongst other matters be "achievable with a realistic prospect that housing will be delivered on site within five years and in particular that development of the site is viable." To be considered 'developable' there should be in a reasonable prospect that the site "could be viably developed at the point envisaged." (footnotes 11 and 12 of the Framework).

Paragraph 52 of the Framework confirms that the supply of new homes can sometimes be best achieved through planning for larger sites, such as new settlements that follow the principles of Garden Cities.

# **Local Planning Policy**

The Development Plan for South Norfolk currently comprises the Joint Core Strategy (JCS) for Broadland, Norwich and South Norfolk (adopted March 2011 and amended in 2014), and the



Development Planning Policies Document (adopted October 2015) and the Site Allocations Document (adopted October 2015).

Policy 9 of the Joint Core Strategy (JCS) identifies land at Hethel (to the immediate east of the proposed allocation site boundary) as a strategic employment location and states that there should be expansion of activity there, including a new technology park to focus on advanced engineering and the growth of technology capabilities.

The site is allocated under Policy HETHEL 1 and 2 for uses associated with, or ancillary to, advanced engineering and technology based business and will form an extension to existing employment development at Hethel.

The allocation at Hethel forms part of a wider regional economic strategy to deliver a 'technology corridor' between Cambridge and Norwich, providing over £500m of innovation-led growth, infrastructure investment, housing and skills.

The Tech Corridor proposals are being led by a partnership of borough and district councils and local employment partnerships located across East Anglia. It is the partnership's objective to draw on a fast growing economy and the existing world class universities, research institutes and tech business within the area and to retain and grow the knowledge pool and skills of those working within these environments.

The proposed allocation site is well placed to support the partnership in delivering their ambition, being strategically positioned within the heart of the tech corridor with excellent links to the A11 and existing businesses and growth areas at Hethel and the Norwich Research Park.

The proposed allocation site presents a unique opportunity to deliver a high-profile strategic employment site for Norfolk and the wider eastern region, specialising in advanced engineering and technology. The provision of additional residential and community uses within any allocation would further support the GNDP in meeting their Objectively Assessed Housing Needs (39,486 across the Plan Period of 2015 to 2036). This mix of uses may be sustainably and appropriately delivered in the form of a new Garden Village for Norfolk.

#### **Site Specific Constraints and Opportunities**

The site (as shown at **Appendix 1**) is located to the south west of the Lotus Car Works site and the Strategic Employment allocation at Hethel and covers land to both the north and south of Wymondham Road, stretching as far as St Thomas Lane to the north and the village of Silfield to the south.

The site is well related to and within easy travel distance of, the A11 to the west.

The proposed allocation area is within single ownership and is available now.

Stanfield Hall (located within the centre of the northern portion of the site) is Grade II\* Listed. The Hall and its curtilage are located outside of the proposed allocation area, albeit given its location, specific attention would need to be given as part of any allocation and masterplanning process to protecting and where possible enhancing, the setting of the Hall.



An area of woodland to the north east of the Hall is designated as a County Wildlife Site and consideration would need to be given to how this site can be assimilated within any allocation.

The proposed allocation area, is located in Flood Zone 1 and is at limited risk of flooding. However, the site contains a number of drainage ditches and there are a number of large ponds / lakes within close proximity to the Hall. A full assessment of surface water and a solution to managing future drainage across the site would need to be provided as part of any future allocation.

The site is well maintained, agricultural land comprising a number of cultivated fields divided by rows of well-established hedgerows and trees. A coordinated approach to landscaping, open space and boundary treatment will be key to assimilating any new development within its surroundings.

#### **Benefits of Allocation Area**

The proposed allocation site has the potential to deliver on the ambitions of the Cambridge to Norwich Tech Corridor through supporting the expansion of existing industries and facilitating inward investment.

The site is uniquely and beneficially positioned adjacent to the existing employment growth area at Hethel and within easy driving distance of the A11 and just 5 miles from the research and development park to the south of Norwich.

The proposed allocation area is substantial in size and is capable through the delivery of a coordinated and comprehensive approach to side-wide masterplanning, of providing a meaningful amount of complementary community and residential uses, open spaces and parkland.

As such, the scale and location of the proposed allocation site provides a unique opportunity to deliver a new self-sustaining Garden Village for Norfolk that not only supports the economic aspirations of the Tech Corridor but is capable of making a meaningful contribution towards accommodating the Objectively Assessed Housing Needs of Greater Norwich.

The proposed allocation site has the propensity to deliver a substantial amount of development in an environmentally appropriate and sustainable manner which will have significant consequential social and economic benefits for the local area and the wider region.

## Conclusion

It is for these reasons that Lanpro request the GNDP consider the proposed allocation site as part of the emerging Greater Norwich Local Plan process.

I trust that the above and enclosed is sufficient for you to consider the proposed allocation extension, however, if you require any further information or clarifications please do not hesitate to contact me.

An illustrative concept masterplan showing one way in which the proposed allocation area may be brought forward can be provided to the GNDP if considered of assistance in considering the site's development potential.



Yours sincerely

**Hannah Smith** 

**Associate Planner MRTPI** 



Appendix 1 - Proposed Allocation Site

