## Appendix B: Table of Historic England's comments on the proposed Allocations in the Draft of the Greater Norwich Local Plan

[Historic England's comments on the remainder of the Local Plan are set out in Appendix A]

## Please note we have not commented on reasonable alternative sites.

| Site<br>Ref. | Location  | Support/<br>Object/<br>Comment | Comments   | Suggested Change   |
|--------------|---|--------------------------------|--|--|
|              | General comment   | Comment                        | We suggest that the bullet points in the site allocations are numbers<br>to make it easier to reference policy and use the Plan  | Number bullet points in site allocations   |
|              | comment<br>General<br>comment on site<br>assessment<br>booklets for site<br>allocations | Object                         | <ul> <li>Whilst we have not had the capacity to review every site assessment in the Site Assessments booklet, we have looked at a number of the assessments.</li> <li>We are concerned that there is currently insufficient evidence in relation to the historic environment in terms of site allocations. To that end, we suggest that you review the site assessments to ensure that there is sufficient and robust in its consideration of the historic environment.</li> <li>We refer you to our advice on the Historic Environment and Local Plans and Sites Allocations which is set out in the following documents:</li> <li>HE Good Practice Advice in Planning 1 – the historic environment in local plans: <a href="https://historic-environment-local-plans/">https://historic-environment</a></li> <li>HE Advice Note 3 – site allocations in local plans: <a href="https://historic-environment-and-site-allocations-in-local-plans/">https://historic-environment-and-site-allocations-in-local-plans/</a></li> <li>Our advice note 3 on site allocations in local plans sets out a</li> </ul> | Allocations<br>Prepare HIAs for all sites (some brief<br>HIAs and some more detailed<br>assessments) |
|              |   |                                | suggested approach to assessing sites and their impact on heritage assets (a Heritage Impact Assessment or HIA). It advocates 5  |  |

| Site<br>Ref. | Location | Support/<br>Object/<br>Comment | Comments  | Suggested Change |
|--------------|----------|--------------------------------|---|------------------|
|              |          |                                | steps, including understanding what contribution a site, in its current<br>form, makes to the significance of the heritage asset/s, and<br>identifying what impact the allocation might have on significance.<br>This should be applied to the assessment and selecting of sites<br>within a plan.  |                  |
|              |          |                                | In essence, it is important that you  |                  |
|              |          |                                | a) Identify any heritage assets that may be affected by the potential site allocation.  |                  |
|              |          |                                | b) Understand what contribution the site makes to the significance of the asset   |                  |
|              |          |                                | c) Identify what impact the allocation might have on that significance  |                  |
|              |          |                                | d) Consider maximising enhancements and avoiding harm   |                  |
|              |          |                                | e) Determine whether the proposed allocation is appropriate<br>in light of the NPPFs tests of soundness   |                  |
|              |          |                                | In assessing sites it is important to identify those sites which are<br>inappropriate for development and also to assess the potential<br>capacity of the site in the light of any historic environment (and<br>other) factors. This should be more than a distance based criteria<br>but rather a more holistic process which seeks to understand their<br>significance and value. Whilst a useful starting point, a focus on<br>distance or visibility alone as a gauge is not appropriate. |                  |
|              |          |                                | We suggest that a brief Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) is<br>undertaken for <b>ALL</b> sites in the Plan following the 5 step<br>methodology, with more detailed HIA being undertaken for selected<br>sites where the heritage issues are greater. It is important that the<br>evidence base is proportionate and so the level of detail will vary<br>depending on the site – its size and the number and significance of   |                  |

| Site<br>Ref. | Location  | Support/<br>Object/<br>Comment | Comments   | Suggested Change                             |
|--------------|---|--------------------------------|--|--|
|              |   |                                | heritage assets affected. We have identified a number of sites in<br>this table and the covering letter where a more detailed assessment<br>would be required. This is not an exhaustive list and it may be that<br>in preparing the brief HIAs you identify other sites which also<br>warrant a fuller assessment.  |  |
|              |   |                                | The findings of the assessments should then be incorporated into<br>the relevant site allocations policies (e.g. site capacity, potential<br>mitigation and enhancements etc.)   |  |
|              |   |                                | The assessments could be included either in the Site Assessments<br>or into the Historic Environment Topic Paper. Either way, it is<br>important that they form part of the evidence base for the Local<br>Plan.   |  |
|              | General<br>Comment on<br>site allocations<br>policy wording | Object                         | General comments on allocationsIt is important that policies include sufficient information regarding<br>criteria for development. Paragraph 16d of the NPPF states that<br>policies should provide a clear indication of how a decision maker<br>should react to a development proposal.Development should conserve or where appropriate enhance the<br>significance of heritage assets including <i>[list heritage assets on site<br/>and nearby</i> ] including any contribution made to their significance by<br>their settings. Appropriate mitigation measure including will be<br>required.'As previously advised the policy wording should mention the | Review policy wording for all<br>allocations |
|              |   |                                | The policy and supporting text should also refer to specific appropriate mitigation measures e.g. landscaping or careful design or maintaining key views or buffer/set back/breathing space etc.<br>Therefore, please revisit the site allocations and ensure that policy wording/supporting text is consistent with the advice above.   |  |

| Site<br>Ref. | Location   | Support/<br>Object/<br>Comment | Comments   | Suggested Change   |
|--------------|--|--------------------------------|--|--|
|              |  |                                | By making these changes to policy wording the Plan will have<br>greater clarity, provide greater protection to the historic environment<br>and the policies will be more robust.<br>Given the inclusion of reference to significance and setting we<br>suggest that these terms (which are quite technical) are included in  |  |
| GNLP<br>0068 | Land adj to<br>River Wensum<br>and the Premier<br>Inn, Duke St | Object                         | <ul> <li>a glossary.</li> <li>This site is located within the Norwich City Centre Conservation<br/>Area. There are a number of listed buildings in the vicinity of the<br/>site including Blackfriars Bridge and 52 Colgate, both listed at grade<br/>II. Redevelopment of the site therefore has the potential to affect<br/>these heritage assets and their settings.</li> <li>Historic England is broadly supportive of the principle of<br/>redevelopment of this site.</li> <li>We welcome the commitment in the policy to an appropriate scale<br/>and form of development in bullet point 2.</li> <li>However, there is no mention of the Conservation Area in the policy<br/>or supporting text and whilst bullet point 3 mentions the need to<br/>conserve and enhance adjoining heritage assets, the Conservation<br/>Area is not adjoining (the site lies within it) and other assets are not<br/>adjoining but nearby. Therefore, we suggest amending the policy<br/>wording to read Conserve and enhance the significance of the City<br/>Centre Conservation Area and nearby listed buildings (including<br/>any contribution made to their significance by setting).</li> <li>We welcome the commitment to riverside access for walking and<br/>cycling in bullet point 5.</li> <li>We note a desire to increase density at the site but emphasise that<br/>it is important that this must not cause a greater degree of harm on<br/>the historic environment.</li> </ul> | Amend the policy wording to read<br>Conserve and enhance the<br>significance of the City Centre<br>Conservation Area and nearby listed<br>buildings (including any contribution<br>made to their significance by setting). |
| GNLP01       | UEA – Land   | Object                         | Earlham Hall is listed at Grade II* with the garden walls and  | Reword bullet point 2 to read  |

| Site<br>Ref.     | Location   | Support/<br>Object/<br>Comment | Comments  | Suggested Change  |
|------------------|--|--------------------------------|---|---|
| 33 - B           | adjoining the<br>Enterprise<br>Centre, Earlham<br>Hall                             |                                | <ul> <li>dovecote listed at grade II. The whole site lies within the Earlham<br/>Conservation Area. Any development of this site has the potential<br/>to impact upon the heritage assets and their settings.</li> <li>We suggest that a more detailed HIA be prepared for the campus<br/>as a whole.</li> <li>We note bullet point 2 relating to the need to protect and enhance<br/>the significance of heritage assets including Earlham Hall and<br/>Earlham Conservation Area. It would be helpful to state that<br/>Earlham Hall is listed at Grade II* and that there are other grade II<br/>listed buildings/structures.</li> </ul>   | Development should protect and<br>enhance the significance of the grade<br>II* Earlham Hall and associated<br>Grade II listed buildings and the<br>Earlham Conservation Area<br>(including any contribution made to<br>that significance by stetting), through<br>careful design, massing and<br>appropriate open space and<br>landscaping. |
| GNLP01<br>33 - C | Land at Cow<br>Drive (the<br>Blackdale<br>Building<br>adjoining<br>Hickling House) | -                              | No comments   |   |
| GNLP01<br>33-D   | Land between<br>Suffolk Walk<br>and Bluebell<br>Road                               | Object                         | There are no designated heritage assets within the site boundary<br>but the Earlham Park Conservation lies to the north of the site and<br>the campus includes a number of listed buildings including the<br>grade II* Sainsbury Centre and Norfolk and Suffolk Terraces,<br>together with a number of other grade II listed buildings. Any<br>development of this site therefore has the potential to impact upon<br>the settings of these designated heritage assets.<br>We suggest that a more detailed HIA be prepared for the campus<br>as a whole.<br>We welcome bullet point 2 regarding the heritage significance and<br>setting of buildings within the campus and also the sensitive<br>location adjacent to the University Broad. Careful design will be | Amend policy wording in accordance<br>with the advice above.<br>Prepare a more detailed HIA for the<br>campus as a whole.   |
| GNLP01           | UEA Land at the  | -                              | needed of any development to ensure the protection and<br>enhancement of nearby heritage assets.<br>Welcome bullet point 1 in relation to heritage assets.  |   |

| Site<br>Ref.           | Location  | Support/<br>Object/<br>Comment | Comments  | Suggested Change  |
|------------------------|---|--------------------------------|---|---|
| 33                     | Grounds Depot<br>site – student<br>accommodation<br>(400 student<br>beds)                     |                                |   |   |
| Policy<br>GNLP02<br>82 | Land at<br>Constitution<br>Motors   | Support                        | Welcome bullet point 1 and reference to locally listed building.  |   |
| Policy<br>GNLP03<br>60 | Land at the Deal<br>Ground,<br>Bracondale and<br>Trowse<br>Pumping Station<br>(680 dwellings) | Object                         | <ul> <li>This large cross boundary site for 680 dwellings includes a grade II listed bottle kiln and the southern portion of the site lies within the Trowse Millgate Conservation Area. Any redevelopment of this site has the potential to affect these designated heritage assets and their settings.</li> <li>Historic England is broadly supportive of the principle of redevelopment of this site.</li> <li>There is currently no reference to these designated heritages assets within the policy or supporting text. To that end, we recommend that reference is made both in the policy and the supporting text to the need to Conserve and where appropriate enhance significance of the grade II listed bottle Kiln and Trowse Millgate Conservation Area (including any contribution made to that significance by setting).</li> <li>While there maybe no designated heritage assets in northern most part of the site, any tall structures have the potential to impact on longer views (especially from higher ground) in towards the historic city core (including the castle and cathedral).</li> </ul> | Amend policy and supporting text to<br>reference the designated heritage<br>assets and the need to Conserve and<br>where appropriate enhance<br>significance of the grade II listed<br>bottle Kiln and Towse Millgate<br>Conservation Area (including any<br>contribution made to that significance<br>by setting). |
| Policy                 | Former Eastern  | Object                         | Although there are no designated heritage assets along this stretch<br>of river bank, this part of the site has a significant potential for<br>archaeology. This should be referenced in the policy<br>This site is located within the Norwich City Centre Conservation   | Suggest that a separate bullet point  |

| Site<br>Ref.            | Location   | Support/<br>Object/<br>Comment | Comments  | Suggested Change   |
|-------------------------|--|--------------------------------|---|--|
| GNLP04<br>01            | Electricity<br>Headquarters,<br>(Dukes Wharf)                            |                                | <ul> <li>Area. There are a number of listed buildings in the vicinity of the site including St Gregory Church and Strangers Hall Museum, both listed at grade I, 2 Charing Cross listed a grade II* as well as numerous buildings and structures listed at grade II.</li> <li>Redevelopment of the site therefore has the potential to affect these heritage assets and their settings.</li> <li>This site benefits from Planning permission and so the principle of development has already been established on this site. Historic England is broadly supportive of the principle of redevelopment of this site and has provided advice over many years in relation to this site.</li> <li>We welcome the commitment in the policy to an appropriate scale and form of development in bullet point 1</li> <li>We welcome the reference to the conservation area in bullet point 1 but suggest that a separate bullet point is included in relation to the historic environment in relation to the need to Conserve and enhance the significance of heritage assets (including any contribution made to their significance by setting) including the City Centre Conservation Area, Grade I listed St Gregory's Church and Strangers Hall Museum, grade II* listed Charing Cross and other buildings listed at grade II.</li> <li>We note a desire to increase density at t eh site but emphasise that it is important that this must not cause a greater degree of harm on the historic environment.</li> </ul> | is included in relation to the historic<br>environment in relation to the need to<br>Conserve and enhance the<br>significance of heritage assets<br>(including any contribution made to<br>their significance by setting) including<br>the City Centre Conservation Area,<br>Grade I listed St Gregory's Church<br>and Strangers Hall Museum, grade<br>II* listed Charing Cross and other<br>buildings listed at grade II. |
| Policy<br>GNLP04<br>09R | Land at Barrack<br>Street,<br>Whitefriars<br>(resid mixed use<br>for 300 | Object                         | This site includes the grade II listed 77-79 Barrack Lane, part of the City Walls and towers which is a scheduled monument and also the western part of the site lies within the City Centre Conservation Area.   | Delete ancient<br>Refer specifically to 77-79 Barrack<br>Street.   |

| Site<br>Ref. | Location   | Support/<br>Object/<br>Comment | Comments  | Suggested Change   |
|--------------|------------|--------------------------------|---|--|
|              | dwellings) |                                | This is the immediate setting of part of the Scheduled City wall, the grade I listed St James's Mill, the grade II listed numbers 77-79<br>Barrack Street and the grade I listed former church of St James. It is also in the wider setting of a number of other heritage assets including Norwich cathedral. Any development of the site has the potential to impact upon these heritage assets and their settings<br>The site was most recently occupied by Jarrold's printing works which incorporated the 1836 textile mill and an abutting modern building which now contains the printing museum. The site has much earlier origins and stands between the river Wensum and the medieval city wall. This section of the wall ran between the tower on Silver Road to another on the waterfront. As well as River Lane, a street running immediately inside the wall, the site featured a number of elongated property boundaries stretching back from the river reflecting the value of waterfront commercial property. Within the walls was a densely built mixture of domestic and commercial property with the part of the application site outside the walls less developed with garden areas surviving through to the 20th century. In the 19th century the commercial property along the waterfront was redeveloped sometimes without heed to the medieval boundaries with more substantial building of which St James' mill is a good example. This tall, elegant building establishes a scale of development on the waterfront which other modern building adjacent has respected.<br>At the northern side of the site the small houses of the 18th and early 19th centuries which characterised parts of Norwich before the Victorian period are represented by numbers 77-79 Barrack Street. These are markable survivals and reflect the scale of much of the historic building in this area. The 19th and early 20th century building on the northern side of Barrack Street is also domestic in scale while the former church of St James (the Norwich Puppet Theatre) is a relatively modest building of the 15th c | We suggest a more detailed HIA is<br>prepared for this site. |

| Site<br>Ref.           | Location  | Support/<br>Object/<br>Comment | Comments   | Suggested Change  |
|------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--|---|
|                        |   |                                | Historic England is broadly supportive of the principle of redevelopment of this site, providing it is of an appropriate scale and massing and conserves and enhances the heritage assets.   |   |
|                        |   |                                | We suggest a more detailed HIA is prepared for this site.  |   |
|                        |   |                                | We welcome the reference to the City wall in bullet point 2 (although delete the word ancient as we would normally refer to these as scheduled monuments now). We suggest that you specially refer to the grade II listed 77-79 Barrack Street.  |   |
| Policy<br>GNLP04<br>51 | Land adjoining<br>Sentinel House<br>(St Catherine's<br>Yard) Surrey<br>Street Norwich | Object                         | The site lies within the City Centre Conservation area and there are<br>a number of grade II listed buildings nearby. Any development of<br>the site has the potential to impact upon these heritage assets and<br>their settings.   | We suggest including reference to<br>significance in the policy. Include<br>reference to scale and massing in<br>policy.              |
|                        |   |                                | Historic England is broadly supportive of the principle of redevelopment of this site, providing it is of an appropriate scale and massing and conserves and enhances the heritage assets. This should be reflected in the policy.   |   |
|                        |   |                                | We welcome the reference to the Conservation Area and other heritage assets in bullet point 1.   |   |
| Policy<br>GNLP05<br>06 | Land at and<br>adjoining Anglia<br>Square Norwich<br>(1200 dwellings                  | Object                         | The site lies within the Norwich City Centre Conservation Area. To<br>the east of the site lies the grade II listed 75 Magdalen Street, to the<br>south lie numerous listed buildings, the nearest of which being<br>Doubty's Hospital also listed at grade II. To the north west lies a | Include reference to the City Centre<br>Conservation Area and other<br>heritage assets in the policy.                                 |
|                        | and mixed use)  |                                | collect of listed buildings including the grade I listed Church of St<br>Augustine with a further group of listed buildings along Magdalen<br>Street to the north east of the site.  | Amend policy to reduce indicative<br>dwelling capacity, remove<br>requirement for car parking, and<br>ensure the reinstatement of the |
|                        |   |                                | Any development of the site has the potential to impact upon these heritage assets and their settings.   | historic street pattern and a more<br>appropriate density of development<br>to reflect the grain of the area and to                   |
|                        |   |                                | Historic England is broadly supportive of the principle of redevelopment of this site, providing it is of an appropriate scale   | conserve and enhance heritage assets.   |

| Site<br>Ref. | Location | Support/<br>Object/<br>Comment | Comments   | Suggested Change  |
|--------------|----------|--------------------------------|--|---|
|              |          |                                | <ul> <li>and massing and conserves and enhances the heritage assets.</li> <li>However, we object to the allocation as currently proposed.</li> <li>It is our view that the scale of the proposed development would be inconsistent with the council's development management policies, as well as with broad strategic objectives, because it would entail development which would cause severe harm to the character of the city centre conservation area and harm to a variety of other designated heritage assets of the highest significance. The adopted SPD for this site placed a wide range of requirements on the site which we consider would be difficult to achieve at an appropriate density that would conserve and enhance the historic environment.</li> <li>In particular, we consider that the indicative capacity of 1200 dwellings cannot be achieved without harm to the historic environment.</li> <li>Instead we suggest that the allocation should be based on the reinstatement of the lost historic street pattern – as envisaged by the policies in the conservation area appraisal. It should rest on an understanding of how mid- to high density development can be accommodated in a manner appropriate to the wider character and grain of the city. Elements fundamentally incompatible with this – notably the provision of c. 600 car parking spaces – should be omitted. Finally the dwelling capacity should be reduced.</li> <li>We commend Ash Sakula's approach https://www.ashsak.com/projects/anglia-square-norwich as a worked illustration of how Anglia Square could be redeveloped so as to provide the facilities required, within a new community in a sustainable manner that conserves and enhances the historic environment and restores the former street pattern of the area.</li> <li>More specifically in relation to the current wording of the allocation, there is currently no mention of the Conservation Area within the policy. We suggest this be amended.</li> </ul> | The policy will need to be reviewed<br>following the outcome of the Planning<br>Inquiry for this site.<br>Undertake a tall buildings study to<br>inform an appropriate strategy for<br>such development within the City–<br>see comments in Appendix A. |

| Site<br>Ref. | Location | Support/<br>Object/<br>Comment | Comments  | Suggested Change |
|--------------|----------|--------------------------------|---|------------------|
|              |          |                                | <ul> <li>Bullet point 6 refers to a landmark building or buildings to provide a focal point for the northern city centre. We have concerns regarding this bullet and in particularly the lack of clarity regarding an appropriate scale and massing of such development. We do however welcome the need for any such development to be sited to conserve and enhance heritage assets and their setting (although again we would recommend the inclusion of the word significance).</li> <li>However, it is about more than just individual heritage assets and their settings but extends to the character and skyline of the city as a whole.</li> <li>To that end we suggest that further work needs to be done to provide an appropriate evidence base for a tall buildings strategy for the city (see Appendix A comments on tall buildings)</li> <li>Clearly this site has been the subject of a recent Planning Inquiry in which Historic England objected to a scheme not dissimilar to that envisaged by the proposed allocation. The outcome of the Inquiry will clearly be crucial in determining an appropriate way forward for this site and we suggest that the wording of the allocation will need</li> </ul> |                  |

| Site<br>Ref.           | Location  | Support/<br>Object/<br>Comment | Comments  | Suggested Change  |
|------------------------|---|--------------------------------|---|---|
| Policy<br>GNLP21<br>14 | Land at and<br>adjoining St<br>Georges Works,<br>Muspole Street | Object                         | <ul> <li>This site lies within the Norwich City Centre Conservation Area and would appear to be immediately adjacent to the grade II listed 47 and 49 Colegate and Woolpack Public House. Any development of this site has the potential to impact upon these designated heritage assets and their settings.</li> <li>Historic England is broadly supportive of the principle of redevelopment of this site, providing it is of an appropriate scale and massing and conserves and enhances the heritage assets. At street level, it will be important for the new development on the rest of the site to reinforce the scale, form and grain of the historic streets around.</li> <li>We welcome the reference to the Conservation Area in bullet point 2. We suggest that specific mention is also made of the adjacent listed buildings. The policy should be amended to read that preserves and enhances the significance City Centre Conservation Area and nearby designated heritage assets including 47 and 49</li> <li>Colegate and the Woolpack Public House, all listed at grade II including any contribution made to that significance by setting.</li> <li>We welcome the commitment in bullet point 4 to the protection of key views of the tower of St George's Colegate.</li> </ul> | Specific mention should be made of<br>the adjacent listed buildings. The<br>policy should be amended to read<br>that preserves and enhances the<br>significance City Centre<br>Conservation Area and nearby<br>designated heritage assets including<br>47 and 49 Colegate and the<br>Woolpack Public House, all listed at<br>grade II including any contribution<br>made to that significance by setting. |

| Policy<br>GNLP21<br>59 | Land at 84-120<br>Ber Street and<br>Mariners Lane<br>Car Park (mixed<br>use incl150<br>dwellings) | Object | This site lies within the Norwich City Centre Conservation Area.<br>There is a grade II listed building, the Remains of the Church of St<br>Bartholomew, to the north of the site and a number of grade II listed<br>buildings on the opposite side of Ber Street. The Grade I listed<br>Church of St John de Sepulchre lies to the south of the site and the<br>site forms part of the setting of this church.<br>Any development of the site therefore has the potential to impact<br>upon these designated heritage assets and their settings.   | We recommend amending the<br>wording of bullet point 1 to refer to<br>significance.<br>Include reference to scale and<br>massing in policy.  |
|------------------------|---|--------|---|--|
|                        |   |        | Historic England is broadly supportive of the principle of<br>redevelopment of this site, providing it is of an appropriate scale<br>and massing and conserves and enhances the heritage assets.<br>This should be reflected in the policy.<br>We welcome the reference to the Conservation area and heritage<br>assets including the Church of St John within bullet point 1. The  |  |
|                        |   |        | policy wording would be further improved by reference to significance.  |  |
| Policy<br>GNLP21<br>63 | Friars Quay Car<br>Park, Colegate   | Object | This site lies within the Norwich City Centre Conservation Area.<br>This site is an important one in this part of the Norwich conservation<br>area and is in the setting of several listed buildings. It forms part of<br>the south side of Colegate, the principle historic street of what was<br>once the Norvic settlement and which contains numerous important<br>historic buildings including several listed ones. A group of grade II<br>listed buildings are situated on Colegate at the north end of the site<br>as well as the parish church of St George (grade I listed) and the<br>grade II* listed Bacon's House and numbers 2-9 Octagon Court.<br>The site also lies in an interesting position in the conservation area<br>where the nature of historic building changes. | We recommend amending the<br>wording of bullet point 1 to refer to<br>significance.<br>Include reference to scale, grain and<br>massing in policy.<br>We also suggest reference to buried<br>archaeology given the former non-<br>conformist chapel on the site. |
|                        |   |        | Modern development between Colegate and the river (Friar's Quay)<br>is akin in scale and form to the generally low-rise, domestic scale of<br>development on the north side of the River stretching along<br>Colegate eastwards to Magdalen Street. The Friar's Quay<br>development is a very successful and early example of modern<br>residential development in an historic city which responds to the<br>historic 'grain' of development from a time when development<br>commonly disregarded it. To the west side of the application site is   |  |

| GNLP<br>2164 | Land west of                                 | Support | St Andrew's Street, also characterised by relatively modest, pitched<br>roofed development, both historic (including the grade II listed<br>numbers 22-25 and later infill matching it. This street marks the<br>point at which the character of historic development changes.<br>The western side of St Andrew's Street features a former 19th<br>century factory building filling a corner plot on Colegate. This is<br>similar in form, though smaller than the 19th century Art College<br>building across the river to the south. Upstream from the college is<br>modern development of a similar scale. St Andrew's Street can<br>therefore be seen as a 'hinge' point in this part of the conservation<br>area and the application site being to the east of it falls within the<br>area characterised by more domestic scale development, both old<br>and new.<br>Any development of the site therefore has the potential to impact<br>upon a number of heritage assets and their settings.<br>We consider that there is scope for development of this site, but it<br>will need to be of an appropriate scale and grain for this site. This<br>should be reflected in the policy.<br>We welcome reference to the Conservation Area and heritage<br>assets and their settings in bullet point 1 although again suggest<br>that the wording is slightly amended to include the word<br>significance.<br>The site itself also formerly contained a non-conformist chapel<br>dating from the 18th century. The impact on buried archaeology of<br>the development will need to be given full consideration.<br>This site lies just outside of the Thorpe Ridge Conservation Area.<br>Any development of the Thorpe Ridge Conservation Area. |   |
|--------------|--|---------|--|---|
| 2164         | Eastgate House,<br>Thorpe Road               |         | Any development of the site therefore has the potential to impact<br>upon the setting of the Conservation Area.<br>We welcome the reference to the Conservation Area in the policy.  |   |
| GNLP30<br>53 | Land at Carrow<br>Works ((1200<br>dwellings) | Object  | Part of this site lies within the Bracondale Conservation Area. The site includes the Scheduled Monument, Carrow Priory and grade I listed Carrow Abbey, as well as several grade II listed buildings including Carrow House and several Carrow Works buildings. There   | We suggest the inclusion of wording<br>referencing the assets and the need<br>to preserve and enhance the<br>significance of these assets |

| developable area (and hence capacity of the site) and to establish<br>appropriate mitigation and enhancement should the site be found<br>suitable. If the site is found suitable, the findings of the HIA should<br>then inform the policy wording. |
|---|
|---|

| GNLP30<br>54   | St Marys Works<br>and St Marys<br>House (150<br>dwellings and<br>hotel) |        | <ul> <li>This site is located within the City Centre Conservation Area. There are a number of listed buildings nearby including St Mary's Church and St Martin at Oak Church, both listed at grade I, and Folly House and Pineapple House listed at grade II.</li> <li>We welcome reference to the City Centre Conservation Area listed buildings and locally listed buildings within the bullet points.</li> <li>We recognise that this site is suitable for redevelopment, but any such development must be of an appropriate design, scale and massing given the sensitivity of this location in heritage terms, between two grade I listed churches.</li> <li>To that end we suggest that we suggest that a more detailed Heritage Impact Assessment be undertaken.</li> <li>We understand that this site has planning consent which broadly established the scale of development for the site.</li> </ul> | We suggest that a more detailed<br>Heritage Impact Assessment be<br>undertaken.  |
|----------------|---|--------|--|--|
| POLICY<br>CC2  | 147 – 153 Ber<br>Street, Norwich  | -      | See comments on GNLP2159   |  |
| POLICY<br>CC3  | 10 – 14 Ber<br>Street,  | Object | <ul> <li>This site lies within the Norwich City Centre Conservation Area. 4 and 8 Ber Street, just to the north of the site are listed at grade II.</li> <li>Any development of the site therefore has the potential to impact upon a number of heritage assets and their settings.</li> <li>There is currently no reference to the City Centre Conservation Area or nearby listed buildings in the policy or supporting text.</li> <li>We consider that there is scope for development of this site, but it will need to be of an appropriate scale and grain for this site. The scale of any new development should reflect that of the neighbouring properties</li> </ul>   | We suggest the inclusion of wording<br>referencing the assets and the need<br>to preserve and enhance the<br>significance of these assets<br>(including any contribution made to<br>that significance by setting).<br>We also suggest amending bullet<br>point 3 with the addition of the words<br>'and the scale of any new<br>development should reflect that of<br>the neighbouring properties. |
| POLICY<br>CC4a | Land at Rose<br>Lane/Mounterga<br>te                                    | Object | This site lies within the Norwich City Centre Conservation Area and<br>is close to a number of listed buildings including Norwich Castle<br>(which is also scheduled).   | Amend bullet point 4 unless there are actually listed buildings within the site boundary.  |

| POLICY<br>CC4b | Land<br>Mountergate/Pri<br>nce of Wales<br>Road | Comment | <ul> <li>Any development of the site therefore has the potential to impact upon a number of heritage assets and their settings.</li> <li>The policy refers to on site listed buildings although to our knowledge there are no listed buildings actually on site (perhaps there may be some locally listed). There are however nearby listed buildings. Welcome bullet points 3 and 4.</li> <li>This site is located within the Norwich City Centre Conservation Area and adjacent to the St Matthews Conservation Area. There are several listed buildings on site including St Faiths House and a building fronting Mountergate, both listed at grade II. There are a number of nearby heritage assets including the Station, Foundry Bridge and the Castle and Cathedral.</li> <li>Any development of the site therefore has the potential to impact upon a number of heritage assets and their settings.</li> <li>We welcome bullet points 3, 4 and 5 that reflect these heritage</li> </ul> |  |
|----------------|---|---------|---|--|
| POLICY<br>CC7  | Hobrough Lane,<br>King Street                   | Support | <ul> <li>assets.</li> <li>This site lies within the Norwich City Centre Conservation Area and includes grade II listed buildings (125-129 King Street).</li> <li>Any development of the site therefore has the potential to impact upon a number of heritage assets and their settings.</li> <li>We welcome bullet point 3 which refers to the Conservation Area and these listed buildings and bullet point 5 in relation to heritage interpretation.</li> </ul>   |  |
| POLICY<br>CC8  | King Street<br>Stores                           | Object  | This site lies within the Norwich City Centre Conservation Area and is adjacent to the grade II listed Ferryboat Inn. Any development of the site therefore has the potential to impact upon the conservation area and the setting of the adjacent listed building.<br>We welcome bullet points 1 and 2 that refer to heritage assets. and the need to retain the locally listed building on site. We consider that there is scope for development of this site, but it will need to be of an appropriate scale and grain for this site. We suggest that specific mention is made of the grade II listed Ferryboat Inn in the policy.   | We suggest that specific mention is<br>made of the grade II listed Ferryboat<br>Inn in the policy.<br>Mention should also be made in the<br>policy of the need for appropriate<br>massing and height on this site. |

| POLICY<br>CC10 | Land at Garden<br>Street and<br>Rouen Road,   | Object | This site lies within the Norwich City Centre Conservation Area.         Any development of the site therefore has the potential to impact   | We suggest the inclusion of wording<br>referencing the Conservation Area<br>and the need to preserve and  |
|----------------|---|--------|--|---|
|                |   |        | upon the Conservation Area.  | enhance the significance of the<br>Conservation Area.   |
|                |   |        | There is currently no mention of the Conservation Area in the policy<br>and supporting text.   |   |
| POLICY<br>CC11 | Land at Argyle<br>Street  | Object | This site lies within the Norwich City Centre Conservation Area and adjacent to the grade II listed Remains of St Peter Southgate Church.  | We suggest the inclusion of wording<br>referencing the Conservation Area<br>and specifically referencing the<br>remains of St Peter Southgate   |
|                |   |        | Any development of the site therefore has the potential to impact<br>upon the Conservation Area and listed building and their settings.  | church (grade II listed) and the need<br>to preserve and enhance the<br>significance of these assets  |
|                |   |        | There is currently no mention of the Conservation Area in the policy<br>and supporting text. Although bullet point 1 refers to neighbouring<br>listed and locally listed buildings, it would be helpful if the grade II<br>listed building was referenced by name.   | (including any contribution made to that significance by setting).  |
| POLICY<br>CC16 | Land adjoining<br>Norwich City<br>Football Club<br>north and east<br>of Geoffrey<br>Watling Way | Object | There are no designated heritage assets within the site boundary<br>but the Bracondale Conservation Area lies to the south west of the<br>site. Carrow Priory (scheduled and listed also lies to the south of<br>the site. Any development of the site therefore has the potential to<br>impact upon the setting of the Conservation Area and the Abbey<br>site. | We suggest the inclusion of wording<br>referencing Carrow Priory and the<br>need to preserve and enhance the<br>significance of these assets<br>(including any contribution made to<br>that significance by setting). |
|                |   |        | We welcome the reference to the Bracondale Conservation Area in the policy but suggest that mention is also made of Carrow Priory.   |   |
| POLICY<br>CC18 | Land at 140-154<br>Oak Street and<br>70-72 Sussex   | Object | This site lies within the Norwich City Centre Conservation Area and<br>the grade II listed Great Hall lies to the north west of the site.  | We suggest the inclusion of wording<br>referencing the grade II listed Great<br>Hall and the need to preserve and   |
|                | Street  |        | Any development of the site therefore has the potential to impact<br>upon these heritage assets and their settings.  | enhance the significance of these<br>assets (including any contribution<br>made to that significance by setting).   |
|                |   |        | We welcome the reference in the policy to the Conservation Area<br>but suggest the policy should also reference the nearby grade II<br>listed Great Hall.  |   |

| POLICY<br>CC24 | Land to rear of<br>City Hall                           | Object  | This site lies within the Norwich City Centre Conservation Area and adjacent to the grade II * listed City Hall, 13-17 St Giles Street, also listed at II* and a number of grade II listed buildings. Any development of the highly sensitive site therefore has the potential to impact upon these heritage assets and their settings. WE therefore welcome bullet points 1 and 2 of the policy. The scale and height are crucial in this context. The maximum height should be the same as City Hall.   | Add in reference to maximum height<br>to be the same as City Hall.   |
|----------------|--|---------|---|--|
| POLICY<br>CC30 | Westwick Street<br>Car Park                            | Object  | <ul> <li>This site lies within the Norwich City Centre Conservation Area.</li> <li>The grade II listed pump house lies to the south east of the site and the site forms part of the setting of the City Wall.</li> <li>Any development of the site therefore has the potential to impact upon these heritage assets and their settings.</li> <li>We welcome the inclusion of bullet points 1 and 4 in the policy.</li> <li>There are issues of scale and massing and views into the Conservation Area. These should also be highlighted in the policy.</li> </ul> | The grade II listed pump house<br>should also be referenced in the<br>policy. There are issues of scale and<br>massing and views into the<br>Conservation Area. These should<br>also be highlighted in the policy. |
| Policy<br>R1   | Land at The<br>Neatmarket,<br>Hall Road                | -       | No comments   |  |
| POLICY<br>R2   | Ipswich Road<br>Community<br>Hub, 120<br>Ipswich Road, | -       | No comments   |  |
| POLICY<br>R7   | John Youngs<br>Limited, 24 City<br>Road                | Support | <ul> <li>Whilst there are no designated heritage assets within this site, the grade II listed Church of St Mark lies to the south of the site. Any development of the site therefore has the potential to impact upon the setting of the church.</li> <li>We welcome reference in bullet point2 of the policy to the church and the locally listed residential terraces.</li> </ul>   |  |
| POLICY         | Utilities site,  | Support | Whilst there are no designated heritage assets within the site  |  |

| R10              |   |        | boundary, we welcome bullet point 6 that references the heritage significance of the site.   |  |
|------------------|---|--------|--|--|
| POLICY<br>R13    | Site of former<br>Gas Holder at<br>Gas Hill                 | Object | <ul> <li>Whilst there are no designated heritage assets within the site boundary, the site lies immediately adjacent to the Thorpe Hamlet Conservation Area and close to the scheduled remains of St Leonards Priory. The site lies on rising ground, opposite Cathedral Close across the river. There are also two nearby grade II listed buildings, Bridge House PH and Chalk Hill House. The City Centre Conservation Area lies nearby.</li> <li>Any development of the site therefore has the potential to impact upon these heritage assets and their settings. We accept the principle of development but massing and height will be important considerations. We would expect development to blend in with the existing pattern of development. This should be included in the policy.</li> <li>We welcome the inclusion of bullet point 2 in the policy but suggest that reference should also be made to the City Centre Conservation Area and the nearby grade II listed buildings, Bridge House PH and Chalk Hill House.</li> </ul> | Reference should also be made to<br>the City Centre Conservation Area<br>and the nearby grade II listed<br>buildings, Bridge House PH and<br>Chalk Hill House.<br>Reference should be made in the<br>policy to massing and height.<br>Development should blend in with the<br>existing pattern of development. |
| Policy<br>R14/15 | Land at Kett's<br>Hill and east of<br>Bishop Bridge<br>Road | Object | <ul> <li>Whilst there are no designated heritage assets within the site boundary, the site lies immediately adjacent to the Thorpe Hamlet Conservation Area. The scheduled Blockhouse known as the Cow Tower lies to the west of the site, as does the City Centre Conservation Area.</li> <li>Any development of the site therefore has the potential to impact upon these heritage assets and their settings. We accept the principle of development but massing and height will be important considerations. We would expect development to blend in with the existing pattern of development. This should be included in the policy.</li> <li>We welcome the inclusion of bullet point 2 in the policy but suggest that reference should also be made to the City Centre Conservation Area and the nearby Cow Tower a scheduled monument.</li> </ul>   | Reference should be made in the<br>policy to massing and height.<br>Development should blend in with the<br>existing pattern of development.   |

| POLICY        | Site of former  | Support | We welcome the reference to the locally listed shoe factory building  |  |
|---------------|---|---------|---|--|
| R17           | Van Dal Shoes,<br>Dibden Road,                                  | Support | in the policy.  |  |
| POLICY<br>R18 | Site of former<br>Start Rite<br>Factory, 28<br>Mousehold Lane   | -       | No comments   |  |
| POLICY<br>R19 | Land north of<br>Windmill Road                                  | -       | No comments   |  |
| POLICY<br>R20 | Land east of<br>Starling Road                                   | Support | <ul> <li>Whilst there are no designated heritage assets within the site boundary, this site lies close to the edge of the City Centre Conservation Area. Any development of the site therefore has the potential to impact upon this heritage asset and its setting.</li> <li>We therefore welcome bullet point 2 of the policy that references the Conservation Area and locally listed terraces.</li> </ul> |  |
| POLICY<br>R29 | Two sites at<br>Hurricane Way,<br>Airport Industrial<br>Estate, | -       | No comments   |  |
| Policy<br>R30 | Land at Holt<br>Road  | -       | No comments   |  |
| POLICY<br>R31 | Heigham Water<br>Treatment<br>Works,<br>Waterworks<br>Road      | Object  | <ul><li>Whilst there are no designated heritage assets within the site boundary, St Bartholomew's Church which is as scheduled monument and listed at grade II lies to the east of the site.</li><li>Any development of the site therefore has the potential to impact upon these heritage assets and their settings.</li></ul>   | Reference should be made in the<br>policy and supporting text to the<br>nearby St Bartholomew's Church<br>(grade II listed and a scheduled<br>monument). |
|               |   |         | There is currently no mention of the church in the policy or  |  |

|               |   |         | supporting text.  |   |
|---------------|---|---------|---|---|
|               |   |         | We welcome bullet point 2 and also the reference to the industrial garden.  |   |
| POLICY<br>R33 | Site of former<br>Earl of Leicester<br>Public House,<br>238 Dereham<br>Road | Support | <ul> <li>Whilst there are no designated heritage assets on this site, the site lies adjacent to the Norwich City (Earlham Road) Cemetery which is a grade II Registered Park and Garden.</li> <li>Any development of the site therefore has the potential to impact upon these heritage assets and their settings.</li> <li>We therefore welcome the reference in bullet point 1 to the Earlham Cemetery.</li> </ul>  |   |
| POLICY<br>R35 | Land at Havers<br>Road,   | -       | No comments   |   |
| POLICY<br>R36 | Mile Cross<br>Depot   | Support | <ul> <li>Whilst there are no designated heritage assets within the site boundary the Mile Cross Conservation Area lies to the north of the site.</li> <li>Any development of the site therefore has the potential to impact upon this heritage asset and its setting.</li> <li>We therefore welcome bullet point 1 of the policy that references the Conservation Area.</li> </ul>  |   |
| Policy<br>R37 | The Norwich<br>Community<br>Hospital site,<br>Bowthorpe<br>Road,            | Object  | <ul> <li>Whilst there are no designated heritage assets within the site boundary the site lies adjacent to the Norwich City (Earlham Road) Cemetery which is a grade II Registered Park and Garden as well as the Jewish Mortuary Chapel listed at Grade II.</li> <li>Any development of the site therefore has the potential to impact upon these heritage assets and their settings.</li> <li>We welcome the reference to Earlham Cemetery in bullet point 2. It would be helpful if the policy also reference the fact that the cemetery was a grade II Registered Park and Garden and also</li> </ul> | It would be helpful if the policy also<br>reference the fact that the cemetery<br>was a grade II Registered Park and<br>Garden and also referred to the<br>nearby Jewish Mortuary Chapel. |

|               |   |         | referred to the nearby Jewish Mortuary Chapel.   |  |
|---------------|---|---------|--|--|
| POLICY<br>R38 | Three Score,<br>Bowthorpe   | Support | <ul> <li>Whilst there are no designated heritage assets within the site boundary the site lies immediately adjacent to the Bowthorpe Conservation Area and within the setting of Bowthorpe Hall (grade II listed).</li> <li>Any development of the site therefore has the potential to impact upon these heritage assets and their settings.</li> <li>We therefore welcome reference to the Conservation Area and Bowthorpe Hall within bullet point 4 of the policy.</li> </ul> |  |
| POLICY<br>R42 | Land west of<br>Bluebell Road,<br>and north of<br>Daisy Hill<br>Court/Coralle<br>Court, Westfield<br>View | Support | We welcome the reference to the Eaton Conservation Area in bullet point 1.   |  |
|               |   | Object  | In the last para for settlements, the text states that all new and carried forward allocationsmeasures to protect the environment. Can you please insert the words historic and natural environment to make it clear that we mean both?  | Insert historic and natural before environment.  |
| COL1          | COLNEY<br>Land adj to<br>Norwich<br>Research Park   | Object  | Existing allocation but extended We note that this is an existing<br>allocation. There is a cluster of grade II listed buildings (Old Hall,<br>Rectory and wall) to the north of the site. Any development has the<br>potential to impact upon the setting of these designated heritage<br>assets. There is currently no mention of these assets within the<br>policy or the need to conserve and where appropriate enhance the<br>significance of these nearby heritage assets. | We suggest that the policy be<br>amended to include reference to the<br>heritage assets and the need to<br>conserve and where appropriate<br>enhance them Suggested wording:<br>Development should conserve or<br>where appropriate enhance the<br>significance of nearby heritage<br>assets including Old Hall and its wall,<br>and the Rectory (noting that<br>significance may be harmed by<br>development within the setting of an |

|       |  |         |   | asset) through appropriate landscaping, density and design.  |
|-------|--|---------|---|--|
| COL2  | Colney Strategic<br>Employment<br>Area | Object  | Whilst there are no designated heritage assets within the site<br>boundary, the Earlham Conservation Area including associated<br>listed buildings (the grade II* listed Earlham Hall and the grade II<br>dovecote and garden wall) le to the east of the site. Any<br>development has the potential to impact upon the setting of these<br>designated heritage assets. There is currently no mention of these<br>assets within the policy (or Policy COL1 to which COL 2 refers back<br>to) or the need to conserve and where appropriate enhance the<br>significance of these nearby heritage assets. | We suggest that the policy be<br>amended to include reference to the<br>heritage assets and the need to<br>conserve and where appropriate<br>enhance them Suggested wording:<br>Development should conserve or<br>where appropriate enhance the<br>significance of nearby heritage<br>assets including Earlham<br>Conservation Area and associated<br>listed buildings (noting that<br>significance may be harmed by<br>development within the setting of an<br>asset) through appropriate<br>landscaping, density and design. |
| BAW 2 | Bawburgh and<br>Colney Lakes           | Object  | We note that this site is allocated for a water based country park<br>and yet on the Colney Inset Map on page 2 the key shows this area<br>as a retail/commercial allocation.   | Amend key to show as a recreational allocation   |
|       | COSTESSEY                              |         |   |  |
|       |  |         | No preferred option sites but 2 contingency sites   |  |
| COS3  | Longwater<br>Employment<br>area        | -       | No comments   |  |
| COS 5 |  | -       | No comments   |  |
|       | CRINGLEFORD                            |         |   |  |
| HOU1  | Land north and south of the A11        | Support | Whilst there are no designated heritage assets within the site<br>boundary, a grade II listed Round House lies to the south east of<br>the site. However, it would appear that there is an existing<br>commitment between the proposed site and the Round House and<br>so there will be no additional harm to that already permitted,   |  |
| KES 2 | Land west of<br>Ipswich Road           | Object  | Whilst there are no designated heritage assets within the site<br>boundary, the grade II listed church of all Saints and remains of the<br>Church of All Saints lies to the west of the site. Any development<br>has the potential to impact upon the setting of these designated<br>heritage assets. There is currently no mention of these assets<br>within the policy or of the need to conserve and where appropriate   | Amend policy to include reference to<br>the grade II listed Church and<br>remains of church and the need to<br>conserve and where appropriate<br>enhance the significance of these<br>heritage assets.   |

|                  |  |         | enhance the significance of these nearby heritage assets.  |   |
|------------------|--|---------|--|---|
|                  | DRAYTON                                    |         |  |   |
| DRA1             | Land east of<br>Cator Road                 | Object  | Whilst there are no designated heritage assets within the site<br>boundary, a grade II listed building, 4 Manor Farm Close (a former<br>C18th red brick farmhouse with pantile roof) lies immediately to the<br>west of the site. Any development has the potential to impact upon<br>the setting of this designated heritage asset. There is currently no<br>mention of the listed building within the policy or supporting text <i>or</i><br><i>of the need to conserve and where</i> appropriate enhance the<br>significance of these nearby heritage assets. | We suggest that the policy be<br>amended to include reference to the<br>listed building and the need to<br>conserve and where appropriate<br>enhance them Suggested wording:<br>Development should conserve or<br>where appropriate enhance the<br>significance of the grade II listed 4<br>Manor Farm Close (noting that<br>significance may be harmed by<br>development within the setting of an<br>asset) through appropriate<br>landscaping, setback and design |
|                  | EASTON AND<br>HONINGHAM                    |         |  |   |
| GNLP21<br>76     | Land north of<br>Dereham Road<br>Honingham | -       | No comments  |   |
| EAS1             | Land south and<br>east of Easton           | Object  | We note that this is a carried forward allocation and so the principle<br>of development has already been established. We welcome criteria<br>7 and 8 which refer to heritage assets. Suggest that the policy is<br>improved by adding the following at the start of criterion 8   | Development should conserve or<br>where appropriate enhance the<br>significance of the grade I listed<br>Church of St Peter (noting that<br>significance may be harmed by<br>development within the setting of an<br>asset) and ensure that sufficient<br>open space  |
|                  | HELLESDON                                  |         |  | , ,   |
| HEL 1            | Land at Hospital grounds                   | Support | Welcome bullet point 4   |   |
| HEL2             | Land at Royal<br>Norwich Golf<br>Club      | -       | No comments  |   |
|                  | OLD CATTON                                 | -       | No comments  |   |
|                  | RACKHEATH                                  |         |  |   |
| Policy<br>GNLP01 | Land to the west of Green Lane             | Object  | We welcome the reference to Rackheath Hall and the need for open space to be provided in the land to the west of the A1270.  | Amend policy to state that land to the west of the A1270 should only be   |

| 72                     | West   |         | This requirement should be included in the policy   | used for open space to conserve and<br>where opportunities arise enhance<br>the significance of the grade II listed<br>Rackheath Hall and bridge.   |
|------------------------|--|---------|---|---|
| Policy<br>GNLP03<br>51 | Land at<br>Heathwood<br>Gospel Hall,<br>Green Lane<br>West | -       | No comments   |   |
| Policy                 | SPROWSTON<br>Land off Blue                                 | Support | Although Rackheath Hall, grade II listed lies to the east of the site,  |   |
| GNLP01<br>32           | Bar<br>Lane/Salhouse<br>Road, White<br>House Farm          | Support | the intervening vegetation should provide a suitable buffer. We welcome the reference to the historic parkland and need for protection of trees in bullet point 5.  |   |
|                        | TAVERHAM   |         |   |   |
| GNLP03<br>37           | Land between<br>FIR Covert<br>Road and<br>Reepham Road     | -       | No comments   |   |
|                        | TROWSE   |         |   |   |
| TROW1                  |  | Object  | This site lies immediately adjacent to the Trowse with Newton<br>Conservation Area. We welcome bullet point 2. The policy would<br>be further improved if specific mention was made of the need to<br>conserve and where opportunities arise enhance the significance of<br>the Trowse Conservation Area.   | Make specific mention of the need to<br>conserve and where opportunities<br>arise enhance the significance of the<br>Trowse Conservation Area.  |
|                        | THORPE ST<br>ANDREW  | -       | No comments   |   |
|                        | AYLSHAM  |         |   |   |
| AYL3                   |  | -       | No comments   |   |
| AYL4                   |  | -       | No comments   |   |
| Policy<br>GNLP03<br>11 |  | Object  | Although there are no designated heritage assets within the site<br>boundary, the grade II listed Bure Valley Farmhouse lies to the east<br>of the site. Any development has the potential to impact upon the<br>setting of this designated heritage asset. There is currently no<br>mention of the listed building within the policy or supporting text <i>or</i><br><i>of the need to conserve and where</i> appropriate enhance the<br>significance of these nearby heritage assets. | We suggest that the policy be<br>amended to include reference to the<br>listed building and the need to<br>conserve and where appropriate<br>enhance them Suggested wording:<br>Development should conserve or<br>where appropriate enhance the |

|                                     | DISS  |        |   | significance of the grade II listed<br>Bure Valley Farmhouse (noting that<br>significance may be harmed by<br>development within the setting of an<br>asset) through appropriate<br>landscaping, setback and open<br>space and design |
|-------------------------------------|---|--------|---|---|
| GNLP01<br>02                        | Land at Frontier<br>Agriculture Ltd,<br>Sandy Lane  | -      | No comments   |   |
| GNLP02<br>50/0342<br>/0119/0<br>291 | Land north of<br>the cemetery,<br>west of<br>Shelfanger Rd<br>and East of<br>Heywood Road | -      | No comments   |   |
| Policy<br>DIS1                      | Land north of<br>Vince's Road,<br>Diss  | -      | No comments   |   |
| Policy<br>DIS 2                     | Land off Park<br>Road, Diss   | -      | No comments   |   |
| Policy<br>DIS 3                     | Land off<br>Denmark Road,<br>Roydon   | -      | No comments   |   |
| Policy<br>DIS 8                     | Land at Station<br>Road/Nelson<br>Road  | -      | No comments   |   |
| Policy<br>DIS 9                     | Land at Sandy<br>Lane   | -      | No comments   |   |
| Policy<br>GNLP21<br>08              | Land south or<br>Spirketts Lane   | Object | There are no designated heritage assets within the site boundary.<br>To the west of the site, Dove House and its garden wall are both<br>listed at grade II. However, the proposed site is set back from these<br>listed buildings. We suggest that the third bullet point should be<br>amended to include reference to the listed buildings e.g. layout and<br>landscaping to take account of the listed buildings to the west, the<br>River Valley location | Amend third bullet point to read<br>'layout and landscaping to take<br>account of the listed buildings to the<br>west, the River Valley location'   |
| Policy                              | Land at Briar   | -      | No comments   |   |

| GNLP<br>2136           | Farm   |        |   |  |
|------------------------|--|--------|---|--|
| Policy<br>HAR 4        | Land at<br>Spirketts Lane                                | -      | No comments   |  |
| Policy<br>HAR5         | Land off Station<br>Hill                                 | -      | No comments   |  |
| Policy<br>HAR 6        | Land north of<br>Spirketts Lane                          | -      | No comments   |  |
| Policy<br>HAR7         | Land south of<br>Spirketts Lane<br>HETHEL SEA            | -      | No comments   |  |
| GNLP<br>2019           | South of Hethel<br>Industrial<br>Estate, Bracon<br>Ash   | Object | Although there are no designated heritage assets within this site,<br>the grade II listed Little Potash (also known as Brunel House) lies<br>immediately to the south of the site and would be surrounded on<br>two sides by the proposed site. The cottage dates from the late 16th<br>or early 17th century with a timber frame and brick plinth, with<br>rendered brick infill and a pantile roof.<br>There is no mention of the nearby listed building within the policy or<br>supporting text and no provision for appropriate<br>landscaping/setback of development. We are concerned that<br>development of this site would affect the setting of this listed<br>building. We are therefore concerned about the inclusion of this<br>site. We suggest a detailed HIA is undertaken for this site to<br>assess the suitability or otherwise of the site and consider any<br>appropriate mitigation. | We suggest a detailed HIA is<br>undertaken for this site to assess the<br>suitability or otherwise of the site and<br>consider any appropriate mitigation.                               |
| Policy<br>HETHE<br>L 1 |  | -      | No comments   |  |
| Policy<br>HETHE<br>L 2 | Land south and<br>south west of<br>Lotus Cars,<br>Hethel | Object | Whilst there are no designated heritage assets within the site<br>boundary, the grade II listed Little Potash/Brunel House and<br>Corporation Farmhouse lie to the east of the site.<br>There is currently no mention of these heritage assets in the policy  | We suggest that the policy be<br>amended to refer to these heritage<br>assets and the need to conserve and<br>enhance them and also to the need<br>for appropriate landscaping along the |

|                        | LONG<br>STRATTON                              | -       | or supporting text or of the need to conserve and enhance the<br>significance of the heritage assets (including any contribution made<br>to that significance by setting). We suggest that the policy be<br>amended to refer to these heritage assets and the need to conserve<br>and enhance them and also to the need for appropriate landscaping<br>along the eastern edge of the site.<br>No comments | eastern edge of the site. |
|------------------------|---|---------|---|---------------------------|
|                        | WYMONDHAM                                     |         | We have some concerns about the comment on page 1 that there is<br>contingency for 1000 new homes to be provided on currently<br>unspecified sites. This lack of clarity over such a large number of<br>houses gives rise to concern.   |                           |
| GNLP03<br>54           | Land at<br>Johnson's Farm                     | Comment | There are no designated heritage assets within the site boundary.<br>The Wymondham Conservation Area lies to the north of the site<br>though at some distance. We therefore welcome the inclusion of<br>bullet point 3.   |                           |
| Policy<br>GNLP30<br>13 | Land north of<br>Tuttles Lane                 | -       | No comments   |                           |
|                        | ACLE  |         |   |                           |
| GNLP<br>0378           | Land west of<br>Acle/North of<br>Norwich Road | -       | No comments   |                           |
| Policy<br>GNLP<br>2139 | Land west of<br>Acle/North of<br>Norwich Road | -       | No comments   |                           |
| Policy<br>ACL1         | Land to the<br>north of Norwich<br>Road       | -       | No comments   |                           |
| Policy<br>ACL 2        | Land to the<br>south of Acle<br>station       | -       | No comments   |                           |
| Policy<br>ACL 3        | Land at the<br>former station<br>yard         | -       | No comments   |                           |
|                        | BLOFIELD                                      |         |   |                           |
| GNLP<br>2161           | Norwich<br>Camping and                        | -       | No comments   |                           |

|                | Leisure  |        |   |  |
|----------------|--|--------|---|--|
| Policy<br>BL01 | Land to the<br>south of the A47<br>and north of<br>Yarmouth Road | Object | Whilst there are no designated heritage assets within the site<br>boundary, the grade II listed Manor Farm Barn lies to the south of<br>the site. Any development of the site has the potential to affect the<br>setting of this heritage asset. There is currently no mention of the<br>listed building within the policy or supporting text or of the<br>requirement to conserve and enhance the significance of the<br>heritage asset.   | Amend the policy and supporting text<br>to make reference to the grade II<br>listed Manor Farm barn and the need<br>to conserve and enhance the<br>significance of the heritage asset<br>(including any contribution made to<br>that significance by setting).   |
|                | BRUNDELL   | -      | No comments   |  |
|                | HETHERSETT   |        |   |  |
| Policy<br>HET1 | Land north of<br>Hethersett<br>HINGHAM                           | -      | No comments   |  |
| GNLP05<br>03   | Land north of<br>Springfield Way<br>and West of<br>Dereham Road  | -      | No comments   |  |
| GNLP05<br>20   | Land south of<br>Norwich Road                                    | Object | Whilst there are no designated heritage assets within the site<br>boundary, there are two grade II listed buildings (Lilac Farmhouse<br>and Blenheim Cottage) to the south of the site. Any development<br>has the potential to impact upon the setting of these heritage<br>assets. There is currently no mention of these listed buildings<br>within the policy or supporting text or of the requirement to conserve<br>and enhance the significance of these heritage assets.  | Amend the policy and supporting text<br>to make reference to the grade II<br>listed Lilac Farmhouse and Blenheim<br>Cottage and the need to conserve<br>and enhance the significance of the<br>heritage assets (including any<br>contribution made to that significance<br>by setting). Include reference to the<br>need for landscaping along the<br>southern edge of the site. |
| Policy<br>HIN2 | Land adjacent to<br>Hingham<br>Industrial Estate                 | Object | Whilst there are no designated heritage assets within this<br>employment allocation, the grade II listed Alexander's Farmhouse<br>lies to the east of the site and White Lodge, also listed at grade II<br>lies to the north of the site. Any development has the potential to<br>impact upon the setting of these heritage assets. There is currently<br>no mention of these listed buildings within the policy or supporting<br>text or of the requirement to conserve and enhance the significance<br>of these heritage assets. We acknowledge the intervening | Amend the policy and supporting text<br>to make reference to the grade II<br>listed Alexander's Farmhouse and<br>White Lodge and the need to<br>conserve and enhance the<br>significance of the heritage assets<br>(including any contribution made to<br>that significance by setting). Include   |

|                |  |        | vegetation that will serve to reduce the impact of any development.   | reference to the need to maintain and<br>reinforce the landscaping along the<br>perimeter of the site.   |
|----------------|--|--------|---|--|
|                | LODDON AND<br>CHEDGRAVE  |        |   |  |
| GNLP03<br>12   | Land to the east<br>of Beccles Road                                      | Object | Whilst there are no designated heritage assets within the site<br>boundary, the Loddon and Chedgrave Conservation Area and its<br>associated listed buildings lies to the north west of the site. Any<br>development has the potential to impact upon the setting of these<br>heritage assets. There is currently no mention of the Conservation<br>Area and listed buildings within the policy or supporting text or of<br>the requirement to conserve and enhance the significance of these<br>heritage assets. | Amend the policy and supporting text<br>to make reference to the Loddon and<br>Chedgrave Conservation Area and<br>associated listed buildings and the<br>need to conserve and enhance the<br>significance of the heritage assets<br>(including any contribution made to<br>that significance by setting).              |
| GNLP04<br>63   | Land off Langley<br>Road,<br>Chedgrave                                   | Object | Whilst there are no designated heritage assets within the site<br>boundary, the Langley Park grade II Registered Park and Garden<br>lies to the west of the site. Any development has the potential to<br>impact upon the setting of this heritage asset. There is currently no<br>mention of the Registered Park and Garden within the policy or<br>supporting text or of the requirement to conserve and enhance the<br>significance of these heritage assets.  | Amend the policy and supporting text<br>to make reference to the Langley<br>Park grade II Registered Park and<br>Garden and associated listed<br>buildings and the need to conserve<br>and enhance the significance of the<br>heritage assets (including any<br>contribution made to that significance<br>by setting). |
| Policy<br>LOD3 | Land adjacent to<br>Loddon<br>Industrial<br>Estate, Little<br>Money Road | -      | No comments   |  |
|                | PORINGLAND,<br>FRAMINGHAM<br>EARL AND<br>FRAMINGHAM<br>PIGOT             |        |   |  |
| POLICY<br>POR3 | Ex MOD site,<br>pine like  | -      | No comments   |  |
|                | REEPHAM  |        |   |  |
| REP 1          | Land off<br>Broomhill Lane   | -      | No comments   |  |
| REP2           | Land at former   | -      | No comments   |  |

| <b></b> | atation word       |   |             | 1 |
|---------|--------------------|---|-------------|---|
|         | station yard,      |   |             |   |
|         | Station Road       |   |             |   |
|         | WROXHAM            | - | No comments |   |
|         | VILLAGE            |   |             |   |
|         | CLUSTERS           |   |             |   |
|         | BROAD-LAND         |   |             |   |
|         | BLOFIELD           |   |             |   |
|         | HEATH AND          |   |             |   |
|         | HEMBLINGTON        |   |             |   |
| GNLP10  | Land to the East   | - | No comments |   |
|         |                    | - | No comments |   |
| 48      | if Woodbastwick    |   |             |   |
|         | Road               |   |             |   |
| Policy  | Land to the        | - | No comments |   |
| BLO5    | north of Blofield  |   |             |   |
|         | Corner             |   |             |   |
|         | <b>BUXTON WITH</b> |   |             |   |
|         | LAMAS AND          |   |             |   |
|         | BRAMPTON           |   |             |   |
| GNLP02  | Land east of       | - | No comments |   |
| 97      | Aylsham Road       |   |             |   |
| 57      | Ayishani Kodu      |   |             |   |
|         |                    |   |             |   |
| Policy  | Land east of       | - | No comments |   |
| BUX1    |                    | - | No comments |   |
| BUX1    | Lion Road          |   |             |   |
|         |                    |   |             |   |
|         | CANTLEY            | - | No comments |   |
|         | CAWSTON,           |   |             |   |
|         | BRANDISTON         |   |             |   |
|         | AND                |   |             |   |
|         | SWANNINGTO         |   |             |   |
|         | N                  |   |             |   |
|         |                    |   |             |   |
|         |                    |   |             |   |
| GNLP02  | Land East of       | - | No comments |   |
| 93      | Gayford Road,      |   |             |   |
|         | Cawston            |   |             |   |
|         |                    |   |             |   |
| Policy  | Land east of       | - | No comments |   |
| CAW2    | Gayford Road,      |   |             |   |
|         | <b>,</b>           | • |             |   |

|                | Cawston  |        |   |   |
|----------------|--|--------|---|---|
|                | COLTISHALL,<br>HORSTEAD<br>WITH<br>STANNINGHAL<br>L AND<br>BELAUGH |        |   |   |
| GNLP20<br>19   | Land at Rectory<br>Road and south<br>of the Bure<br>Valley Railway | -      | No comments   |   |
| POLICY<br>COL1 | Land at Rectory Road,  | -      | No comments   |   |
| POLICY<br>COL2 | Land at Jordan's<br>Scrapyard,<br>Coltishall                       | Object | Whilst there are no designated heritage assets within the site<br>boundary, there is a grade II listed limekiln just to the north east of<br>the site and the Coltishall and Horstead Conservation Area and its<br>associated listed buildings lies to the south of the site. Any<br>development of the site has the potential to impact upon the setting<br>of these heritage assets. There is currently no mention of the<br>Conservation Area and listed buildings within the policy or<br>supporting text or of the requirement to conserve and enhance the<br>significance of these heritage assets. | Amend the policy and supporting text<br>to make reference to the Lime Kiln<br>and Coltishall and Horstead<br>Conservation Area and associated<br>listed buildings and the need to<br>conserve and enhance the<br>significance of the heritage assets<br>(including any contribution made to<br>that significance by setting). |
|                | FOULSHAM<br>AND<br>THEMELTHOR<br>PE                                |        |   |   |
| GNLP06<br>05   | Land west of Foundry Close,  | Object | Whilst there are no designated heritage assets within the site<br>boundary, there are a number of grade II listed buildings to the<br>south of the site and the Foulsham Conservation Area lies to the<br>south and east of the site. Any development of the site has the<br>potential to impact upon the setting of these heritage assets.<br>We welcome bullet point 2 which refers to the listed buildings to the  | Amend the policy and supporting text<br>to make reference to the Foulsham<br>Conservation Area and associated<br>listed buildings and the need to<br>conserve and enhance the<br>significance of the heritage assets<br>(including any contribution made to   |

|                |  |        | south. However, there is no mention of the conservation area.  | that significance by setting).   |
|----------------|--|--------|--|--|
| POLICY<br>FOU2 | Land at Old<br>Railway Yard,<br>Station Road                             | Object | Whilst there are no designated heritage assets within the site<br>boundary, the Foulsham Conservation Area lies to the north east of<br>the site. Any development of the site has the potential to impact<br>upon the setting of the Conservation Area. There is currently no<br>mention of the conservation area in the policy or supporting text   | Amend the policy and supporting text<br>to make reference to the Foulsham<br>Conservation Area and the need to<br>conserve and enhance the<br>significance of the heritage asset<br>(including any contribution made to<br>that significance by setting).  |
|                | FREETHORPE,<br>HALVERGATE<br>AND<br>WICKHAMPTO<br>N                      |        |  |  |
| GNLP20<br>34   | South of<br>Bowlers Close  | -      | No comments  |  |
| POLICY<br>FRE1 | Land north of<br>Palmers Lane,   | Object | Whilst there are no designated heritage assets within the site<br>boundary, the grade II listed Walpole Almshouse lie to the north<br>west of the site. Any development of the site has the potential to<br>impact upon the setting of the listed building. There is currently no<br>mention of the listed building in the policy or supporting text.<br>Landscaping along the northern edge of the site would help to<br>mitigate the impact on the heritage asset. | Amend the policy and supporting text<br>to make reference to the grade II<br>listed Walpole Almshouses and the<br>need to conserve and enhance the<br>significance of the heritage assets<br>(including any contribution made to<br>that significance by setting). Mention<br>landscaping along the northern site<br>boundary. |
|                | FRETTENHAM   | -      | No comments  |  |
|                | GREAT &<br>LITTLE<br>PLUMSTEAD   | -      | No comments  |  |
|                | GREAT<br>WITCHINGHAM<br>, LENWADE,<br>WESTON<br>LONGVILLE,<br>ALDERFORD, |        |  |  |

|              | HEVINGHAM   | - | No comments |  |
|--------------|---|---|-------------|--|
|              | HORSFORD,<br>FELTHORPE<br>AND<br>HAVERINGLAN<br>D |   |             |  |
| GNLP02<br>64 | Dog Lane  | - | No comments |  |
|              | HORSHAM<br>AND NEWTON<br>ST FAITH                 |   |             |  |

| GNLP01<br>25                     | Land west of<br>West Lane  | Object  | <ul> <li>This site lies to the east of the Horsham St Faith Conservation Area and its associated listed buildings including the Grade I listed Church of the Blessed Virgin and St Andrew as well as St Faith Priory which is a scheduled monument. Any development of the site has the potential to impact upon the setting of these heritage assets.</li> <li>This is a sensitive site in terms of the potential impact upon these multiple heritage assets, some of which are highly graded. We therefore have some concerns about the allocation of this site.</li> <li>We suggest that a more detailed Heritage Impact Assessment be undertaken to assess the impact of the proposed development upon the significance of these heritage assets, to establish the suitability or otherwise of the site and to establish appropriate mitigation and enhancement should the site be found suitable. If the site is found suitable, the findings of the HIA should then inform the policy wording.</li> <li>We do however note and welcome the reference to the church in the policy and the Conservation Area and listed buildings in the supporting text.</li> </ul> | We suggest that a more detailed<br>Heritage Impact Assessment be<br>undertaken to assess the impact of<br>the proposed development upon the<br>significance of these heritage assets,<br>to establish the suitability or<br>otherwise of the site and to establish<br>appropriate mitigation and<br>enhancement should the site be<br>found suitable. If the site is found<br>suitable, the findings of the HIA<br>should then inform the policy<br>wording.<br>The policy wording should also<br>reference the conservation area. |
|----------------------------------|--|---------|--|--|
| POLICY<br>HNF1                   | Land east of<br>Manor Road,  | Object  | <ul> <li>Whilst there are no designated heritage assets within the site boundary, the grade II listed Middle Farmhouse and Granary lie to the east of the site. Any development of the site has the potential to impact upon the setting of these listed buildings.</li> <li>There is currently no mention of the listed building in the policy or supporting text. Landscaping along the eastern edge of the site would help to mitigate the impact on the heritage asset.</li> </ul>   | Amend the policy and supporting text<br>to make reference to the grade II<br>listed Middle Farmhouse and<br>Granary and the need to conserve<br>and enhance the significance of the<br>heritage assets (including any<br>contribution made to that significance<br>by setting). Mention landscaping<br>along the eastern site boundary.  |
| POLICY<br>HNF2/<br>GNLP04<br>66R | Land east of the<br>A140 and north<br>of Norwich<br>International<br>Airport | -       | No comments  |  |
| POLICY<br>HNF3                   | Land at Abbey<br>Farm  | Comment | This site is separated from Horsham St Faith and its Conservation<br>Area and listed buildings by the existing industrial estate.  |  |

|                        | Commercial,<br>Horsham St<br>Faith                              |        | Nevertheless, given the proximity of the scheduled St Faith Priory, this area is of archaeological sensitivity. To that end we welcome the reference at bullet point 3 in relation to archaeology.  |  |
|------------------------|---|--------|---|--|
|                        | LINGWOOD<br>AND<br>BURLINGHAM,<br>STRUMPSHAW<br>AND<br>BEIGHTON |        |   |  |
| POLICY<br>GNLP03<br>79 | Land north of<br>Post Office<br>Road                            | Object | <ul> <li>Whilst there are no designated heritage assets within the site boundary, the grade I listed Church of St Peter, lies to the north of the site. The grade II listed Manor house and East Barn also lie to the north whilst the grade II listed thatched cottage lies to the south east of the site. Any development of the site has the potential to impact upon the setting of these listed buildings.</li> <li>We note that the policy and supporting text refer to the church and a possible linear parkland is mentioned in the supporting text. However, no mention is made of other heritage assets.</li> <li>This is a sensitive site in terms of the potential impact upon these multiple heritage assets, some of which are highly graded. We therefore have some concerns about the allocation of this site.</li> <li>We suggest that a more detailed Heritage Impact Assessment be undertaken to assess the impact of the proposed development upon the significance of these heritage assets, to establish the suitability or otherwise of the site and to establish appropriate mitigation and enhancement should the site be found suitable. If the site is found suitable, the findings of the HIA should then inform the policy wording.</li> <li>It might also be helpful to illustrate proposed mitigation in the form of a concept diagram for the site e.g. showing where open space</li> </ul> | We suggest that a more detailed<br>Heritage Impact Assessment be<br>undertaken to assess the impact of<br>the proposed development upon the<br>significance of these heritage assets,<br>to establish the suitability or<br>otherwise of the site and to establish<br>appropriate mitigation and<br>enhancement should the site be<br>found suitable. If the site is found<br>suitable, the findings of the HIA<br>should then inform the policy<br>wording.<br>It might also be helpful to illustrate<br>proposed mitigation in the form of a<br>concept diagram for the site e.g.<br>showing where open space and<br>landscaping would be located. |

|                        |                               |         | and landscaping would be located.   |  |
|------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|---|--|
|                        | MARSHAM                       |         |   |  |
| GNLP21<br>43           | Land south of<br>Le Neve Road | Object  | <ul> <li>Whilst there are no designated heritage assets within the site boundary, there are a number of listed buildings to the east of the site including the grade I listed Church of All Saints and the grade II listed Old Rectory, Colenso Cottage and Marsham War Memorial.</li> <li>We note that the policy and supporting text refer to the church and a possible linear parkland is mentioned in the supporting text. However, no mention is made of other heritage assets.</li> <li>This is a sensitive site in terms of the potential impact upon these multiple heritage assets, some of which are highly graded. We therefore have some concerns about the allocation of this site.</li> <li>We suggest that a more detailed Heritage Impact Assessment be undertaken to assess the impact of the proposed development upon the significance of these heritage assets, to establish the suitability or otherwise of the site and to establish appropriate mitigation and enhancement should the site be found suitable. If the site is found suitable, the findings of the HIA should then inform the policy wording.</li> <li>It might also be helpful to illustrate proposed mitigation in the form of a concept diagram for the site e.g. showing where open space and landscaping would be located.</li> </ul> | We suggest that a more detailed<br>Heritage Impact Assessment be<br>undertaken to assess the impact of<br>the proposed development upon the<br>significance of these heritage assets,<br>to establish the suitability or<br>otherwise of the site and to establish<br>appropriate mitigation and<br>enhancement should the site be<br>found suitable. If the site is found<br>suitable, the findings of the HIA<br>should then inform the policy<br>wording.<br>It might also be helpful to illustrate<br>proposed mitigation in the form of a<br>concept diagram for the site e.g.<br>showing where open space and<br>landscaping would be located. |
|                        | REEDHAM                       |         |   |  |
| POLICY<br>GNLP10<br>01 | Land to East of Station Road  | -       | No comments   |  |
| POLICY                 | Mill Road,                    | Comment | We welcome the reference to the non-designated heritage asset.  |  |

| GNLP30<br>03           | Reedham   |   |             |  |
|------------------------|---|---|-------------|--|
|                        | SALHOUSE,<br>WOODBASTWI<br>CK AND<br>RANWORTH               |   |             |  |
| POLICY<br>GNLP01<br>88 | Land adjoining<br>Norwich Road                              | - | No comments |  |
|                        | SOUTH<br>WALSHAM<br>AND UPTON-<br>WITH-FISHLEY              |   |             |  |
| POLICY<br>GNLP03<br>82 | Land north of<br>Chamery Hall<br>Lane                       | - | No comments |  |
| POLICY<br>SWA1         | Land to the rear<br>of Burlingham<br>Road/St Marys<br>Close |   | No comments |  |
|                        | SPIXWORTH &<br>CROSTWICK                                    | - | No comments |  |

|                 | SOUTH<br>NORFOLK<br>VILLAGES<br>NON-<br>RESIDENTIAL<br>SITES |        |  |   |
|-----------------|--|--------|--|---|
| POLICY<br>BKE 3 | Brooke<br>Industrial<br>Estate, Brooke                       | Object | Arlington Hall grade II listed lies to the east of the site. Whilst we welcome reference to landscaping along the southern boundary, the boundary treatment along the north east of the site is also considered important. | Amend bullet point to reference all boundary treatment and setting of listed buildings. |